

Acta Orthop. Belg., 2019, 85, 346-351

ORIGINAL STUDY

The role of edmonton frailty scale and as a grade in the assessment of morbidity and mortality after fracture neck of femur in elderly

Aysha RAJEEV, Joseph ANTO

From the Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Gateshead Health Foundation NHS Trust, UK

Frailty is a complex syndrome which affects the energy, physical ability, cognition and general health. Hip fractures are associated with causes and consequences of frailty such as osteoporosis, frequent falls, low body mass index, multiple medications and cognitive impairment. The aim of our study is to assess the value of ASA grade and Edmonton frailty score in the outcome of treatment of fracture neck of femurs in elderly patients.

192 patients admitted with fracture neck of femur were included in the study. The mean age was 79.23 years .120 patients had ASA grade 3, 56 patients had ASA grade 2 and 16 patients had ASA grade 1.The frailty index was calculated using Edmonton scoring index. Ninety four patients (49%) had low frailty score and 88 patients (51%) had a high frailty score of more than 10.All patients were followed up 4 weeks and one year after the surgery.

In conclusion the patients with frailty scores and ASA grade have got more chance of developing wound infection. They also have got higher incidence of mortality and morbidity following fracture neck of femur.

Keywords : Frailty Index ; ASA grade ; Morbidity ; Mortality ; Functional Outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Frailty is defined as a state of increased vulnerability due to decrease in the physiological reserve of an individual *(15,19)*. Hip fractures are caused by accidental falls (20) and the main predisposing factors are age, osteoporosis, poor mobility, postural imbalance, anemia and other co-existing morbidities (6,10). Hip Fractures are also associated with significant morbidity and mortality and most of the time the patients does not attain the pre fracture ambulatory status (25).

The development of frailty in an individual is basically depending on the age related changes to various physiological systems in the body especially neuroendocrine, neuromuscular and immunological systems (11). The changes in the various physiological systems can add up and when a cumulative threshold is reached, the physiological function and reserve starts to decline rapidly. It will then become difficult for the body to maintain the physiological homeostasis when it is exposed to various stressors. Thus it will have an adverse effect on the whole individual. The resulting frailty phenotype includes sarcopenia, anorexia, osteoporosis, fatigue, risk of falls and poor physical health (27).

Joseph Anto, Consultant in Anaesthetics

Correspondence : Aysha Rajeev, Associate Specialist in Trauma and Orthopaedics, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Gateshead Health Foundation NHS Trust, Sheriff Hill, Gateshead, NE9 6SX, UK, Tel : 00447414262665.

E-mail : asrajeev18@gmail.com © 2019, Acta Orthopædica Belgica.

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 85 - 3 - 2019

Aysha Rajeev, Associate Specialist in Trauma and Orthopaedics

Sarcopenia is one of the most important elements of frailty, represented by progressive and generalized loss of muscle bulk and strength leading to adverse physical health, poor quality of life and death (7). The mechanisms involved in the development of sarcopenia are decrease in protein synthesis, proteolysis, neuromuscular integrity and muscle fat content (4). The association between muscle fibre loss, muscle fibre atrophy and multiple contributory factors such as nutritional, hormonal, metabolic and immunological also can lead to the development of sarcopenia (8).

The Edmonton Frailty Scale (EFS) is a concise, reliable and easy to use by both clinicians and nonclinicians to assess the frailty of elderly people (24). EFS measures nine domains including cognition, general health, functional independence, social support, medications, nutrition, mood, continence and self-reported performance. The aim of our study is to assess the value of ASA grade and Edmonton frailty scale in the outcome of treatment of fracture neck of femurs in elderly patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A consecutive series of 220 patients admitted with fracture neck of femur over a period of three years were included in the study. They were assessed within 24 hours of admission by the geriatric medicine consultant and optimised for surgery. The patients were scored pre-operatively using the Edmonton frailty scale (Table 1) and their ASA grade determined by anaesthetic trainees. After surgery the patients were managed in the ward by the geriatric medical team.

The patients were mobilised under the supervision of specialist physiotherapist. The post-

Frailty Domain	Item	0 points	1 point	2 points
Cognition	Please imagine this pre-circle is a clock. I would like you to place the numbers in the correct positions, then the hands to indicate a time of `ten after eleven`	No errors	Minor errors	Other errors
General Health	In the past year how many times have you been admitted to the	0	1-2	≥2
Status	In general how will you describe your health?	Excellent/Very good/Good	Fair	Poor
Functional Independence	With how many of the following activities do you require help? meal preparation/shopping/transportation/ telephone/housekeeping/laundry/ managing money/ taking medications	0-1	2-4	5-8
Social Support	When you need help, can you count on someone who is willing and able to meet your needs	Always	Sometimes	Never
Medication Use	Do you use five or more different medications on a regular basis? At times, do you forget to take your prescription medications?	No No	Yes Yes	
Nutrition	Have you recently lost weight such that your clothing has be- come looser?	No	Yes	
Mood	Do you often feel sad or depressed?	No	Yes	
Continence	Do you have a problem with losing control of urine when you don't want to go?	No	Yes	
Self- Reported	Two weeks ago, were you able to:			
Performance	(1)Do heavy work around the house like washing windows, walls or floors without help	vs, Yes No		
	(2)Walk up and down stairs to the second floor without help	Yes	No	
	(3)Walk 1km without help	Yes	No	

Table 1. — Edmonton Frailty Scale

Scoring for Edmonton Frailty scale: (0/18). Not frail: 0-5. Apparently Vulnerable : 6-7. Mildly Frail : 8-9. Moderate frailty : 10-11. Severe Frailty : 12-18.

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 85 - 3 - 2019

()

۲

۲



348

Fig. 1. — Graph showing the different age groups with low and high frailty indices.



Fig. 2. — Graph showing the number of patients with low and high ASA grades in both frailty groups.

operative follow up was done by the elderly medicine orthopaedic practitioner at four weeks and twelve months. The follow up was done at the fragility clinic and by telephone interviews. The data collected are morbidity and mortality in 28 days and time to discharge, mortality at 12 months and functional outcomes.

The maximum score for Edmonton frailty index is 18. In our study we divided the number of patients in to two groups. Those who had a frailty score of less than 10 were classed as low frailty and those patients with frailty score more than 10 were classed as high frailty.

RESULTS

Out of 220 patients who were originally included in the study, full data available for only for 192 patients. The mean age was 79.23 years .120 patients (62.5%) had ASA grade 3, 56 patients (29.2%) had ASA grade 2 and 16 patients (8%)had ASA grade 1. Ninety four patients (49%) had frailty score of

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 85 - 3 - 2019



frailty scores

less than 10 and 98 patients (51%)had a frailty score of more than 10. Fifty (57%) patients in the high frailty score were in the age group between 81-90 years (Fig 1).Seventy eight patients (65%) with high frailty index had ASA grade of 3 compared to 32 patients (44%) in low frailty index group (Fig 2).

Eighty two patients (87%) with low frailty scores were discharged within 3 weeks of admission .But in patients who had a high frailty scores the time to discharge was more than 3 weeks in 54 (55%) patients (Fig 3).

Thirty six patients(41%) in high frailty group had perioperative complications which included superficial wound infection(8),deep wound infection (8),lower respiratory tract infection(4),urinary tract infection (12),deep vein thrombosis(4) Table 2.

At 28 days follow up 20 patients (10.4%) died. All these patients had an ASA grade more than 2 and frailty score above 10.At the end of one year a total of 44 patients (23%) died from both low and high frailty groups, of which thirty two patients (36.4%) had ASA grade more than 2 and frailty score above 10.

DISCUSSION

There are different types of frailty scores and indices available in the literature. They can be

Rajeev.indd 348

۲

Table 2. — Perioperative complications in high frailty group

Superficial wound infection	8
Deep wound infection -	8
Pneumonia	4
Urinary tract infection	12
Deep vein Thrombosis	4

broadly classified in to Frailty Phenotypes and Frailty Indices. In the phenotype variety the main domains are nutritional status. Physical activity, mobility, strength and energy (12,3,22,17). In the index group two more additional domains are assessed including mood and social support. In the modified Functional Independence Measure (FIM), seven functional dimensions such as feeding, hygiene, bathing, toileting, dressing, communication and mobility is measured (2). Groningen Frailty Indicator (GFI) is a fifteen item questionnaire on eight frailty factors including mobility, physical fitness, vision, hearing, nourishment, morbidity, cognition and psychosocial aspects of the elderly patient are assessed (26). Frail Elderly Functional Assessment Questionnaire is 19 item questionnaire on mobility, toileting, meals, eating, washing dishes, dressing, mechanical skills, handling finances, communication over telephone and medication administration (13,14), Table 3. In our study we used Edmonton Frailty index which is a nine domain frailty indicator with a maximum score of 18 (24).

The term sarcopenia was first put forward by Irwin Rosenberg in 1989 to describe the decrease in age related muscle mass (23). The term geriatric syndrome is used to elaborate the signs and symptoms of common health conditions in older people. It includes delirium, falls, incontinence, and frailty (16). Recently there has been a move to recognize sarcopenia as a geriatric syndrome. Sarcopenia is prevalent in older age groups and associated with poor nutrition, increased risk of falls and fragility fractures, impaired physical health leading to loss of independence and eventually death are some of the factors supporting this proposal (5). Sarcopenia can be divided in to primary and secondary depending on the identifiable causes. Primary sarcopenia is age related. Secondary sarcopenia can be activity, disease or nutrition related. Sarcopenia can be staged in to three stages namely pre-sarcopenia, sarcopenia and severe sarcopenia depending on the severity (4).

Fried et al described five key components in the clinical recognition of frailty of a person. They are self-reported weight loss of more than 4.5kg per year, self-reported exhaustion on CSE-D scale (Centre for epidemiological Studies Depression) for most of the time of the week, energy expenditure of less than 383 Kcal/week for males and less than 270 Kcal/week for females, slowness which includes standardised cut off times to walk fifteen feet, stratified for sex and height and weakness example grip strength ,stratified for sex and BMI (Body Mass Index). Persons with three or more indicators are considered to be frail (12).

Study	Year	Nutritional status	Physical activity	Mobility	Strength	Energy	Cognition	Mood	Social Support
Fried et al ¹³	2001	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cigolle et al ¹⁴	2009	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rockwood et al ¹⁵	2007	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kiely et al ¹⁶	2009	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Carlson et al ¹⁷	1998	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Schuurmans et al ¹⁸	2004	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
Gloth et al ^{19.20}	1995 1999	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Rajeev et al (Current Study)	2016	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

۲

Table 3. — Different studies showing frailty factors and indicators

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 85 - 3 - 2019

ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologist) grading is most widely used by anaesthetist for assessing the risk and outcomes following administration of anaesthesia. A combined assessment of elderly patients with both ASA grade and Frailty index will help to calculate the risk, morbidity and mortality following surgical anaesthesia *(1)*. Our study showed that higher the ASA grade the frailty score was also high.

There is also a direct relationship with the frailty index and mean length of stay in the hospital after fracture neck of femur surgery. Krishnan et al has in a comprehensive study of geriatric patients with fracture neck of femur has concluded that higher the frailty score longer the inpatient stay (18). In our study 64% of patients with high frailty index had an inpatient stay of more than 3 weeks.

There are only fewer studies which looked at the morbidity and mortality in ageing patients with increased frailty. Farhat et al in their study used a modification of the Canadian Study of Health and Aging Frailty Index to predict morbidity and mortality in patients older than 60 years undergoing emergency general surgery. They assessed the post-operative outcomes of wound and any other infection and mortality of this group of patients. They concluded that modified frailty index can be used to evaluate risk of both morbidity and mortality in these patients and is a valuable preoperative risk assessment tool for the acute care surgeon (9).

Patel et al also using a modified frailty index based on Canadian Study of Health and Aging Frailty Index Demonstrated that mortality at both 1 and 2 years after sustaining a femoral neck fracture in patients aged 60 years and older is higher (21). In our study also patients with fracture neck of femurs who had higher EDF score index showed higher mortality compared to that with lower scores.

CONCLUSION

The pre-fracture general health, functional and ambulatory level (Frailty index) determines the outcome of treatment of fracture neck of femurs. The higher the frailty index and the ASA grade the incidence of morbidity and mortality are higher. The inpatient stay is prolonged and the functional outcomes are poor in this group of patients.

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 85 - 3 - 2019

REFERENCES

- 1. Ali R, Schwalb JM, Nerenz DR, Antoine HJ, Rubinfeld I. Use of the modified frailty index to predict 30-day morbidity and mortality from spine surgery. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016; 6: 1-5.
- **2.** Carlson JE, Zocchi KA, Bettencourt DM. *et al.* Measuring frailty in the hospitalized elderly : concept of functional homeostasis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 1998 ; 77 : 252-7.
- **3.** Cigolle CT, Ofstedal MB, Tian Z, Blaum CS. Comparing models of frailty : the Health and Retirement Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009 ; 57 : 830-9.
- **4. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM**. European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Sarcopenia : European consensus on definition and diagnosis : Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age Ageing* 2010 ; 39 : 412-423.
- **5.** Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Landi F, Topinková E, Michel JP. Understanding sarcopenia as a geriatric syndrome. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2010; 13: 1-7.
- **6. Dargent-Molina P, Favier F, Grandjean H.** *et al.* Fall related factors and risk of hip fractures : the EPIDOS prospective study. *Lancet* 1996 ; 348 : 145-149.
- 7. Delmonico MJ, Harris TB, Lee JS. *et al.* Alternative definitions of sarcopenia, lower extremity performance, and functional impairment with aging in older men and women. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007; 55: 769-774.
- **8.** Doherty TJ. Invited review : aging and sarcopenia. *J Appl Physiol* 2003 ; 95 : 1717-1727.
- **9. Farhat J, Velanovich V, Falvo A.** *et al* Are the frail destined to fail? Frailty index as a predictor of surgical morbidity and mortality in the elderly. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012; 72: 1526-1531.
- Fox KM, Cummings SR, Williams E, Stone K. Femoral neck and intertrochanteric fractures have different risk factors : a prospective study. *Osteoporosis Int* 2000 ; 11 : 1018-1043.
- 11. Fried L, Walston J. Frailty and failure to thrive. *Principles* of geriatric medicine and gerontology, 5th edn. New York : McGraw-Hill, 2003 : 1487-1502.
- 12. Fried, LP, Tangen, CM, Walston, J. et al. Frailty in older adults : evidence for a phenotype. The Journals of Gerontology. Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 2001; 56: M146-156.
- Gloth FM 3rd, Walston J, Meyer J, Pearson J. Reliability and validity of the Frail Elderly Functional Assessment questionnaire. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 1995; 74: 45-53
- Gloth FM 3rd, Scheve AA, Shah S, Ashton R, McKinney R. The Frail Elderly Functional Assessment questionnaire : its responsiveness and validity in alternative settings. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1999 ; 80 : 1572-6.
- **15. Hubbard RE, Theou O.** Frailty : enhancing the known knowns. *Age and Ageing* 2012 ; 41 : 574-5.

- 16. Inouye SK, Studenski S, Mary E. Tinetti ME, Kuchel GA. Geriatric Syndromes : Clinical, Research, and Policy Implications of a Core Geriatric Concept.J Am Geriatr Soc.2007; 55: 780-791.
- 17. Kiely DK, L. Adrienne Cupples LA, Lipsitz LA. Validation and Comparison of 2 Frailty Indexes : The MOBILIZE Boston Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009 ; 57 : 1532-1539.
- 18. Krishnan M, Beck S, Havelock W, Eeles E, Hubbard RE, Johansen A. Predicting outcome after hip fracture : using a frailty index to integrate comprehensive geriatric assessment results. Age Ageing. 2014; 43: 122-6.
- 19. McMillan GJ, Hubbard RE. Frailty in older inpatients : what physicians need to know. QJM 2012 ; 105 : 1059-65.
- 20. Nyberg L, Gustafson Y, Berggren D, Brannstrom B, Buchtt G.Falls leading to femoral neck fractures in lucid older people. J Am Geriatric Soc. 1996;44: 156-160.
- 21. Patel VK, Brennan KL, Brennan MI et al Association of a Modified Frailty Index With Mortality After Femoral

Neck Fracture in Patients Aged 60 Years and Older. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2014 ; 472 : 1010-1017

- 22. Rockwood, K, Andrew M Mitnitski A. A comparison of two approaches to measuring frailty in elderly people JGerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2007; 62: 738-743.
- 23. Rosenberg I. Summary comments : epidemiological and methodological problems in determining nutritional status of older persons. Am J Clin Nutr. 1989; 50: 1231-1233.
- 24. Rolfson DB, Majumdar SR, Tsuyuki RT, Tahir A, Rockwood K.Validity and reliability of the Edmonton frailty scale. Age and Ageing 2006; 35: 526-529.
- 25. Rosell PA, Parker MJ. Functional outcome after hip fractures. A 1 year prospective outcome study of 275 patients. Injury 2003 ; 34 : 529-532.
- 26. Schuurmans H, Steverink N, Lindenberg S, Frieswijk N, Slaets JP.Old or frail : what tells us more? J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2004 ; 59 : M962-5.
- 27. Strandberg TE, Pitkälä KH. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet 2007 ; 369 : 1328-1329.

()