

Long term results after bipolar radial head arthroplasty

Emanuel VAN HOECKE, Arne VAN DE VIJVER, Francis VAN GLABBEEK, Jan GIELEN

From the Department of Orthopaedic surgery, University hospital Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium

Radial head fractures are common injuries, occurring mostly in active young people 85%. Treatment of the more complex radial head fractures (Mason type III and IV), appear to be challenging and remain a subject of controversy. Replacement of the radial head with a metal prosthesis imitates the stabilizing role of the radial head and has been considered as the treatment of choice. However, long-term results are scarce. We report our mid to long-term (mean 9.4 year) clinical and radiographic results after insertion of a Judet Bipolar Radial Head prosthesis.

34 patients were treated with the Judet Bipolar Head prosthesis between 2000 and 2008. In this study, 21 patients were re-examined after a mean period of 113 months (range, 174-84), ie, 9.4 years (range, 14.5-7). Follow-up examinations included both the Mayo Elbow Performance Index (MEPI) and Dis- abilities of the arm, shoulder and hand (DASH) score. Range of motion was measured with a hand goniometer. X-rays were evaluated for peri-articular ossifications, radiolucent lines and signs of proximal erosion, capitellar erosion.

Mean Mayo Elbow Performance Index was 88.6 (Range 100-50). According to the MEPI score we had 14 excellent, 1 good, 5 fair results and one poor result. One patient reported severe pain, 3 patients reported moderate pain, one patient reported mild pain and 16 patients reported no pain at all.

Difference between pronation-suppination range was noted between primary or secondary indication of the

No benefits or funds were received in support of this study. The authors report no conflict of interests.

Disclaimer : The authors, their immediate families, and any research foundations with which they are affiliated did not receive any financial payments or other benefits from any commercial entity related to the subject of this article. radial head, no other significant differences were found.

Mean DASH score was 23.1° (Range 0-63) without difference between primary and secondary indication.

Mean flexion was 121.8° (Range : 110-140), mean extension deficit was 24.8° (Range 15-40), mean pronation was 62.4° (Range : 50-80) and suppination 58.8° (Range : 45-80).

Ten patients showed signs of ulnohumeral joint degenerative arthritis. One patient developed symptomatic ulna plus.

One patient showed radiolucent lines surrounding the prosthesis with proximal bone erosion. There were no reports of dislocations of the prosthesis. One case of radiological signs of overlenghtening was noted.

Mainly good clinical results are achieved with the Judet bipolar radial head implant. Functional results after long term follow-up are, however less optimistic

- Emanuel Van Hoecke¹, MD.
- Arne Van De Vijver¹, MD.
- Francis Van Glabbeek¹, MD, PhD.
- Jan Gielen², MD, PhD.
 - ¹Department of Orthopaedic surgery, University hospital Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium.

²Department of Radiology, University hospital Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium.

Correspondence : Emanuel Van Hoecke, Department of Orthopaedic surgery, University hospital Antwerp, Wilrijkstraat 10, 2650 Edegem, Belgium.

E-mail : emanuel.vanhoecke@gmail.com © 2016, Acta Orthopædica Belgica.

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 82 - 2 - 2016

then the excellent results achieved after short- to midterm follow-up. No clear link between radiological signs and functional results can be found.

Keywords : radial head prosthesis ; judet.

INTRODUCTION

Radial head fractures are common injuries, they represent up to 1.7 5.4% of all fractures and 33% of all elbow fractures (9,10,15,16). Occurring mostly in active young people 85% the main mechanism is a fall onto an outstretched hand with the forearm in supination and the elbow in extension (9,10,15).

Strategy of treatment is based on the widely used Mason classification modified by Johnston (15) and Broberg Morrey (6,18). Treatment of the more complex radial head fractures (Mason type III and IV), appear to be challenging and remain a subject of controversy (3,11). Most type III and IV fractures are caused by high-energy trauma, they are often accompanied by ligament injuries. In these cases the radial head becomes the primary stabilizer of the joint (2,23,26).

Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) should be considered when encountering these fractures whenever possible in order to restore stability and anatomy of the elbow joint. ORIF can be a challenge in severely comminuted fractures. When stable fixation cannot be achieved, complications as non-union, limited forearm rotation and necrosis of the bone fragments might occur (20,21).

Alternate options when stable fixation cannot be achieved are radial head resection and radial head replacement. For a long time, radial head excision was the recommended type of treatment, but postoperative complications were frequent (2). If excision of the radial head is performed, this will lead to proximal radial migration and valgus instability, when accompanied by soft tissue damage. This will lead to a variety of symptoms such as pain, weakness, cubitus valgus, ulnar nerve neuritis, instability of the elbow and wrist problems (*5*,*24*,*25*,*27*). Replacement of the radial head with a metal prosthesis imitates the stabilizing role of the radial head (i.e. varus-valgus and axial fore-arm stability) and has been considered as the treatment of choice. By conserving the stability of the elbow joint, the previously mentioned complications can be prevented (1,4,7,8,17,19,22,28). Despite complications such as stem loosening, radiocapitellar erosion, radiolucent lines, heterotopic ossification and osteoarthritis, the clinical and radiographic short-term (< 5 yrs) and mid-term (5-10 yrs) results of radial head arthroplasty are promising (1,4,5,8,17,20,21). However, long-term results are scarce. We, hereby, report our mid to long-term (mean 9.4 year) clinical and radiographic results after insertion of a Judet Bipolar Radial Head prosthesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In our department, 34 patients were treated with the Judet Bipolar Head prosthesis between 2000 and 2008. In this study, 21 patients were re-examined after a mean period of 113 months (range, 174-84), ie, 9.4 years (range : 14.5-7). Prosthetic replacement was mostly performed as primary treatment method for comminuted fractures of the radial head and sometimes after primary osteosynthesis or radial head resection. Fourteen prosthesis were placed primarily, 3 were placed after radial head resection and 1 after failed osteosynthesis. Two prosthesis were placed after failure of a primary radial head prosthesis and one for destruction of he radial head caused by rheumatoid arthritis.

Of the 14 fractures (primary indication), 7 were classified as Masson III, 7 were classified as Masson IV with dislocation of the elbow joint. According to the Regan and Morrey classification there were 4 type I, 2 type II and 2 type III fractures of the coronoid. There was one radial head fracture associated with an open fracture-dislocation of the proximal humerus (Gustillo type II open fracture).

After approval by the ethics committee of the University Hospital of Antwerp (B300201523719), an informed consent was presented to each patient and subsequently signed. Antero-posterior (AP) and lateral X-rays of the elbow were made and follow-up examinations were performed.

Follow-up examinations included both the Mayo Elbow Performance Index (MEPI) and Disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand (DASH) score. The MEPI score has a maximum of 100 points : pain (45 points), range of motion (20 points), stability (10 points), and function (25 points) are evaluated. The DASH score has a set of 30 questions concerning functional performance of the

patient. All patients completed the entire set of 30. Range of motion was measured with a hand goniometer.

X-rays were evaluated for peri-articular ossifications according to the Hastings and Graham classification for heterotopic ossification of the upper-limb (14). Furthermore, we evaluated the X-rays for radiolucent lines and signs of proximal erosion, capitellar erosion and suboptimal placement of the Judet implant.

Hastings and Graham classification :

Class I : Without functional impairment Class II : subtotal limitation

Class IIA : limitation flexion / extension Class IIB : limitation pro/suppination Class IIC : limitation both planes Class III : complete bony ankylosis

Degenerative changes of the capitellum :

Grade I : Increased subchondral density Grade II : Mild erosion Grade III : Severe erosion

Degenerative changes of the ulnohumeral joint :

Grade I : Increased subchondral density Grade II : Joint space narrowing Grade III : Severe changes/ankylosis

RESULTS

Mean Mayo Elbow Performance Index was 88.6 (Range 100-50). According to the MEPI score we had 14 excellent, 1 good, 5 fair results and one poor result. One patient reported severe pain, 3 patients reported moderate pain, one patient reported mild pain and 16 patients reported no pain at all. Difference between pronation-suppination range was noted between primary or secondary indication of the radial head (Table I), no other significant differences were found.

Mean DASH score was 23.1° (Range 0-63) without difference between primary and secondary indication. Mean flexion was 121.8° (Range : 110-140), mean extension deficit was 24.8° (Range 15-40), mean pronation was 62.4° (Range : 50-80) and suppination 58.8° (Range : 45-80).

| the Judet radial head prosthesis | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Primary (n=14) | Secondary (n=7) | P-Value | | | | | |
| MEDI | 80.3 | 07.1 | 0.40 | | | | | |

Table I. - Results of primary and secondary indications of

| MEPI | 89.3 | 87.1 | 0.40 | | | |
|-------------|-------|------|------|--|--|--|
| DASH | 20.3 | 32.6 | 0.25 | | | |
| Flexion | 127,3 | 122 | 0,20 | | | |
| Extension | 23.2 | 28.3 | 0,11 | | | |
| Pronation | 67.1 | 53,3 | 0.04 | | | |
| Suppination | 66.5 | 41.7 | 0.02 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Fourteen patients showed radiological signs of capitellar erosion. One was minor, showing only increased subchondral density. 7 Patients showed mild erosion on X-ray of whom only one was symptomatic. The remaining 6 patients showed signs of major erosion of whom 3 were symptomatic. Of the fourteen patients with capitellar erosion, 4 indicated pain on the MEPI score. All 4 patients with pain showed radiological signs of ulnohumeral joint degeneration, showing moderate to severe joint narrowing.

Ten patients showed signs of ulnohumeral joint degenerative arthritis. (I:1 II:6 III:3). One patient had a radial head replacement for destruction of he radial head caused by rheumatoid arthritis (Fig. 1). She happened to be our longest follow-up of 174 months, indicating no pain and having almost perfect range of motion. She did show signs of severe capitellar erosion and ulnohumeral joint narrowing.

One patient developed symptomatic ulna plus. This patient decided to be treated conservatively. 3

One patient showed radiolucent lines surrounding the prosthesis with proximal bone erosion (Fig. 2). This patient was treated with resection of the radial head prosthesis. There were no reports of dis- locations of the prosthesis. One case of radiological signs of overlenghtening was noted. This patient was symptomatic with a MEPI score of 50 and a DASH score of 63. No radiological signs of capitellar erosion were seen with this patient.

Table II shows the summary of our results (Table II).

The radial head prosthesis was implanted because of a Mason IV fracture of the radial head.

| Nr | Age | F/U | Indication | s | Мауо | DASH | Flex | Ext | Pro | Sup | Pain | Cap | Cor | UHJ | но | RLL | Extra |
|----|-----|-----|------------------|---|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|-----|--------|--------------|-----|--|
| 1 | 64 | 174 | RA | v | 100 | 5 | 140 | 15 | 70 | 80 | No | . 111 | N/A | .11 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 | 65 | 171 | Mason 3 | м | 100 | 5.83 | 130 | 20 | 60 | 70 | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 3 | 39 | 153 | Mason 3 | м | 85 | 3.33 | 130 | 25 | 80 | 50 | Mild | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Associated wrist fracture |
| 4 | 48 | 139 | Revision RH | ۷ | 65 | 65 | 120 | 30 | 60 | 60 | Mod | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 | 76 | 138 | Mason 3 | ۷ | 100 | 2.5 | 140 | 30 | 80 | 80 | No | ш | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6 | 72 | 136 | Mason 4 | м | 100 | 0.83 | 140 | 20 | 60 | 50 | No | п | 1 | 0 | н | 0 | |
| 7 | 47 | 134 | Revision RH | м | 100 | 3.33 | 130 | 25 | 60 | 70 | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 8 | 35 | 124 | Mason 4 | м | 100 | 8.33 | 120 | 20 | 80 | 45 | No | п | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | |
| 9 | 47 | 110 | Mason 4 | м | 70 | 47.5 | 120 | 20 | 60 | 70 | Mod | 111 | 0 | m | IIA | 0 | |
| 10 | 64 | 105 | Mason 4 | м | 65 | 62.5 | 120 | 35 | 60 | 80 | Mod | п | 2 | m | IIA | 0 | Open fracture Gustillo II |
| 11 | 46 | 103 | Mason 4 | м | 95 | 27.5 | 110 | 35 | 25 | 30 | No | N/A | 3 | N/A | IIC | 0 | Conversion to total elbow replacement |
| 12 | 49 | 101 | Mason 4 | м | 100 | 0 | 120 | 20 | 60 | 60 | No | н | 3 | 11 | 1 | 0 | |
| 13 | 38 | 100 | Mason 4 | м | 100 | 15 | 130 | 15 | 70 | 80 | No | in . | 2 | 0 | [1] | 0 | |
| 14 | 40 | 91 | Mason 3 | м | 100 | 2.5 | 140 | 25 | 80 | 60 | No | п | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 15 | 48 | 89 | Mason 3 | м | 100 | 5 | 130 | 20 | 80 | 80 | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 16 | 58 | 89 | Revision RHOS | v | 100 | 5 | 120 | 20 | 70 | 40 | No | , II | 0 | , III, | 0 | 0 | |
| 17 | 66 | 87 | Revision RHR | v | 65 | 57.5 | 130 | 35 | 50 | 70 | No | III I | 0 | m | IIC | 0 | Ulna plus, symptomatic |
| 18 | 49 | 85 | Mason 4 | м | 65 | 61.7 | 100 | 30 | 30 | 50 | No | i III (| 1 | 11 | IIC | 1 | Revised to resection RH |
| 19 | 60 | 84 | Revision RHOS | м | 100 | 7.5 | 120 | 20 | 60 | 20 | No | Ű. | 0 | 0 | IIB | 0 | |
| 20 | 61 | 84 | Revision RHR | v | 100 | 35 | 130 | 20 | 65 | 50 | No | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| 21 | 46 | 84 | Revision RH | v | 50 | 63.33 | 110 | 40 | 50 | 40 | Sev | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Overstuffing |

Table II. - Results of our long-term follow-up of the Judet radial head prosthesis

F/U: months follow-up; cap: capitellar erosion; Cor: coronoid fracture; UHJ: ulnohumeral joint arthritis; HO: heterotopic ossification; RLL: radiolucent lines.



Fig. 1. — Patient with 174 month follow-up, 66 year old female with rheumatoid arthritis. Note the significant, though asymptomatic, capitellar erosion pattern.

Significant capitellar erosion and radiolucent lines were noted on the X-ray. He had radiological signs of third degree ulnohumeral osteoarthritis. The patient was severely limited in activities of daily life. A salvage operation was performed, resecting the radial head prosthesis. Photographs taken during the procedure indicates the level of capitellar erosion. 5 patients had significant capitellar erosion (Fig. 3).

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 82 - 2 - 2016

DISCUSSION

Radial head prosthesis has found its place in treatment of complicated radial head fractures. Both monobloc and bipolar metal designs have proven to restore stability in the elbow joint (10,15,16,18).

A specific subgroup of patients showed good results after radial head resection Antuña *et al* (2)



Fig. 2. — Patient with a 85 month follow-up. a 50 year old male, was diagnosed with Class 2C heterotopic ossifications (significant ossifications with impaired function in all movements).

reported good results after radial head resection in young adult patients with an isolated radial head fracture. For the general population though, radial head resection is no longer considered the treatment of choice for irreparable radial head fractures (9, 10,15). Short term results have been reported many times, for both monobloc and bipolar design. Evidence concerning mid- to long-term results remain scarce (7,19). Harrington et al (13) reported the longest follow-up of 20 patients with a cemented monobloc design. They reported 12 excellent results, 4 good, 2 fair and 2 poor. In four cases, the implant had to be removed. 8 patients showed radiological signs of heterotopic ossification and all patients demonstrated radiolucent lines around the implant stem (12).

Two studies reported the bipolar cemented radial head design. Popovic *et al* conducted a large survey of 51 patients treated with the Judet bipolar radial head arthroplasty after 8.4 years (*19*).

They reported 14 excellent, 25 good, 9 fair and 3 poor results according to the Mayo Elbow Performance Index (MEPI) 31 patients showed radio-lucent lines on X-ray. Eleven started appearing be-

fore the first postoperative year. The other 16 were progressive, ending in loosening of the prosthesis in 5 cases.

Burkhart *et al* reported results of 19 patients treated with the Judet bipolar design in a 8.8 year follow-up (7). They had 6 excellent, 10 good and one fair result. No poor results were observed. No radiolucent lines were observed in their study. they too used the DASH and MEPI score, observing a score of 9.8 (DASH) and 90.83 (MEPI).

No correlation has been found in past studies between radiolucencies and pain/loss of function (19,22). The observations of Popovic *et al* were interpreted as being the result of the cementing technique since they remained stable after the first post-operative year.

Our study, the longest running follow-up to our knowledge, showed, according to the MEPI score, one poor result. 20 other patients scored fair to excellent. The mean DASH score of 23.1 reflects a good functional result after 9.2 years. Mean MEPI of 88.6 is still regarded as good, though not excellent. DASH scores of 23.1 seem significantly higher than the above mentioned studies. However given

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 82 - 2 - 2016

the fact that only 5 of our patients were treated for Mason 3 fractures and all others for complicated lesions such as Mason 4 with dislocations and several revision cases (even one conversion to total elbow prosthesis) one would expect a higher number than the general population which, according to Hunsacker, would score a mean 10.1.

Many radiological signs were found in our Xrays, We only observed one case showing radiolucent lines on X-rays and proximal bone erosion. This observation was recent, ending in loosening of the implant requiring removal. During revision surgery, we saw de visu large capitellar erosions, also evident on X-ray (Fig. 3 and 4).

One case of overlenghtening was noted, the patient was symptomatic but without radiological signs of capitellar erosion were seen with this patient. Since only one case of overlenghtening was noted, we cannot draw conclusions. Overlenghtening of the radius causing `overstuffing of the radiocapitellar joint, has been reported to cause loss of elbow flexion, capitellar erosions, pain, and earlyonset osteoarthritis (28).

One interesting fact showed up in our results as it did in the previous study of Burkhart *et al* a large incidence of capitellar erosion and ulnohumeral joint narrowing became apparent. These radiological signs did not seem to translate into symptoms of pain. Even from the patients showing the more severe degenerative capitellar changes, only half indicated pain. However, out of all patients showing capitellar erosion every symptomatic patient had ulnohumeral joint degeneration on X-rays. From a total of 9 patients showing ulnohumeral degeneration we saw 5 patients being asymptomatic. The remaining four patients also showed signs of capitellar erosion.

CONCLUSION

Mainly good clinical results are achieved with the Judet bipolar radial head implant. Functional results after long term follow-up are, however less optimistic then the excellent results achieved after short- to mid-term follow-up. No clear link between radiological signs and functional results can be found.

Acta Orthopædica Belgica, Vol. 82 - 2 - 2016

REFERENCES

- 1. Allavena C *et al.* Outcome of bipolar radial head prosthesis to treat complex radial head fractures in 22 patients with a mean follow-up of 50 months. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 2014; 100: 703-9.
- 2. Antuña SA, Sánchez-Márquez JM, Barco R. Long-term results of radial head resection following isolated radial head fractures in patients younger than forty years old. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2010; 92: 558-66.
- **3. Ashwood N, Bain GI, Unni R.** Management of Mason type-III radial head fractures with a titanium prosthesis, ligament repair, and early mobilization. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004; 86-A : 274-80.
- **4. Athwal GS** *et al.* Contralateral elbow radiographs can reliably diagnose radial head implant overlengthening. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011; 93: 1339-46.
- **5. Brinkman JM** *et al.* Treatment of sequelae of radial head fractures with a bipolar radial head prosthesis : good outcome after 1-4 years follow-up in 11 patients. *Acta Orthop* 2005 ; 76 : 867-72.
- Broberg MA, Morrey BF. Results of treatment of fracturedislocations of the elbow. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1987; (216): 109-19.
- Burkhart KJ et al. Mid- to long-term results after bipolar radial head arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2010; 19: 965-72.
- **8. Chantelot C, Wavreille G.** Radial head fractures : treatment by prosthetic replacement. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 2009; 95 : 458-61.
- **9.** Chien HY *et al.* Short- to medium-term outcomes of radial head replacement arthroplasty in posttraumatic unstable elbows : 20 to 70 months follow-up *Chang Gung Med J* 2010 ; 33 : 668-78.
- **10.** Dotzis A *et al.* Comminuted fractures of the radial head treated by the Judet floating radial head prosthesis *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2006; 88 : 760-4.
- **11. Fowler JR, Goitz RJ.** Radial head fractures : indications and outcomes for radial head arthroplasty. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2013 ; 44 : 425-31
- **12. Frank SG, Grewal R, Johnson J** *et al.* FRCSC Determination of Correct Implant Size in Radial Head Arthroplasty to Avoid Overlengthening. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009; 91 : 1738-1746.
- 13. Harrington IJ, Sekyi-Otu A, Barrington TW, Evans DC, Tuli V. The functional outcome with metallic radial head implants in the treatment of unstable elbow fractures : a long-term review. J Trauma 2001; 50: 46-52.
- 14. Hastings H II, Graham TJ. The classification and treatment of heterotopic ossification about the elbow and forearm. *Hand Clin* 1994; 10: 417-37.
- Jackson JD, Steinmann SP. Radial head fractures. Hand Clin 2007; 23: 185-93, vi.
- **16. Johnston GW.** A follow-up of one hundred cases of fracture of the head of the radius with a review of the literature. *Ulster Med J* 1962; 31: 51-6.

- **17. Judet T** *et al.* A floating prosthesis for radial-head fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1996 ; 78 : 244-9.
- **18. Mason ML.** Some observations on fractures of the head of the radius with a review of one hundred cases. *Br J Surg* 1954; 42: 123-32.
- **19. Popovic N** *et al.* Midterm results with a bipolar radial head prosthesis : radiographic evidence of loosening at the bonecement interface. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007 ; 89 : 2469-76.
- **20. Ring D.** Radial head fracture : open reduction-internal fixation or prosthetic replacement. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2011; 20 (2 Suppl) : S107-12.
- **21. Ruan HJ** *et al.* A comparative study of internal fixation and prosthesis replacement for radial head fractures of Mason type III. *Int Orthop* 2009 ; 33 : 249-53.
- **22. Smets S** *et al.* The floating radial head prosthesis for comminuted radial head fractures : a multicentric study. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2000 ; 66 : 353-8.
- 23. Van Glabbeek F, Van Riet R, Verstreken J. Current concepts in the treatment of radial head fractures in the

adult. A clinical and biomechanical approach. Acta Orthop Belg 2001; 67: 430-41.

- 24. Van Glabbeek F *et al.* The kinematic importance of radial neck length in radial head replacement. *Med Eng Phys* 2005; 27: 336-42.
- **25.** Van Riet RP *et al.* Capitellar erosion caused by a metal radial head prosthesis. A case report. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004 ; 86-A : 1061-4.
- **26.** Van Riet RP *et al.* The effect of the orientation of the radial head on the kinematics of the ulnohumeral joint and force transmission through the radiocapitellar joint. *Clin Biomech* (*Bristol, Avon*) 2006 ; 21 : 554-9.
- **27. Van Riet RP, Morrey BF.** Delayed valgus instability and proximal migration of the radius after radial head prosthesis failure. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2010; 19: e7-10.
- **28.** Yalcinkaya M et al. Resection arthroplasty for Mason type III radial head fractures yield good clinical but poor radiological results in the long term. *Orthopedics* 2013; 36:e1358-64.